

CONGRATULATING NATIONAL JEWISH MEDICAL AND RESEARCH CENTER

HON. DIANA DeGETTE

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 6, 1998

Mr. DeGETTE. Mr. Speaker, I rise to congratulate National Jewish Medical and Research Center in Denver, Colorado on its recent accolade in U.S. News & World Report. National Jewish was ranked the number one respiratory hospital in America in a guide published by U.S. News in July, 1998. National Jewish is truly deserving of this honor, and I believe this hospital's dedication to respiratory illness merits the recognition of the U.S. Congress.

National Jewish has built a rock solid reputation in patient care since its inception as the Frances Jacobs Hospital in 1899. At that early time in Denver's history, National Jewish engaged itself thoroughly in battling tuberculosis through emotional, rehabilitative, occupational and recreational care. In fact, my family settled in Denver in the 1930s to pursue asthma treatments at National Jewish for my Great Grandmother, Esther Rosen. Since that time, the hospital and research center has diversified its range of health care services to include the study and treatment of respiratory, allergic and infectious diseases, psychological care, and education courses. Despite this notable expansion, which now demands the work of 105 physicians and scientists, National Jewish has clearly maintained a commitment to the best possible patient care. This most recent ranking in U.S. News distinguishes National Jewish from a field of 6,400 candidates, all of them esteemed institutions. Simply stated, National Jewish is the best respiratory hospital in America.

Also published in U.S. News was a far more telling ranking—a reputational score tabulated by a random survey of 150 board-certified specialists. Once again, National Jewish clearly distinguished itself from all candidates, receiving an impressive score of 58.1 percent. Of all the facilities which treat respiratory illnesses, doctors all around the country consistently recognized the excellent reputation of National Jewish as the best. Currently, National Jewish operates a prestigious fellowship program in pulmonary, immunology and allergy training which has trained 500 fellows in 47 states and 17 countries. Its positive influence on the treatment of respiratory illnesses is not only international, but also unprecedented.

CRISES IN SUDAN AND NORTHERN UGANDA

HON. CYNTHIA A. MCKINNEY

OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 6, 1998

Ms. MCKINNEY. Mr. Speaker, I would like to welcome Assistant Secretary Susan Rice along with the other witnesses. I look forward to their testimony.

Twelve years ago Ugandan President Yoweri Museveni marched a 20,000-strong rebel army to Uganda's capital, Kampala, and

liberated the Ugandan people from the reign of two of the most oppressive dictatorships the world has ever seen. During their successive regimes Amin and Obote murdered over one million people. While the United States and the Western Powers did nothing, Museveni took action.

Since then, the story of Uganda is nothing short of phenomenal. President Museveni immediately formed a Human Rights Commission to investigate the atrocities committed under the former dictators. Today the Commission is chaired by a judge and overseen by Members of the High Court. The mandate of the organization is to serve as a watch-dog by monitoring government activities, and to educate the public about respect for human rights.

After the establishment of the Human Rights Commission, President Museveni began assembling judges, lawyers, and other scholars for the purpose of drafting Uganda's Constitution. His administration actively solicited the involvement of men and women at the grassroots level. Several thousand Ugandans submitted memorandums offering suggestions. An important component of the Constitution is a provision institutionalizing the Human Rights Commission.

Perhaps most astonishing has been Uganda's economic growth under President Museveni. Real GDP growth has averaged 6.7% over the last ten years. Inflation has been reduced from 250% to 6%. The country has liberal current and capital accounts, so there is no restrictions on foreign exchange. To ease the concerns of foreign investors, Uganda now offers insurance to investors through the Multi-lateral Insurance Guarantee Agency of the World Bank. Under Amin, Ugandans of South Asian heritage were stripped of their properties and forced to leave the country. President Museveni has allowed them to return, and has given back their businesses and land. To encourage American tourists and investors, citizens of the United States no longer need visas to travel to Uganda.

Understanding that an exclusively government breeds its own opposition, President Museveni held elections and has an administration that reflects the diversity of Ugandan society. In 1987 a reporter asked him how he could afford to have such a large and diverse government. His answer was a simple one: "It is cheaper than war."

Mr. Chairman, this is what President Museveni has built in just twelve years. But even more important than what he has done for Uganda, President Museveni is perhaps the first of a new breed of leader on the Continent. He has proven that African leaders no longer need to follow the orders of their colonial masters to achieve success. Independence and security, Museveni has shown, are not mutually exclusive.

Unfortunately, all of this is threatened by an entity as evil as the world has even seen. Northern Uganda is plagued by a rebel insurgency known as the Lord's Resistance Army (LRA), led by Joseph Kony. The LRA is notorious for looting homes, and abducting and enslaving thousands of Ugandan children. Boys as young as 11 years old are forced to serve as soldiers and to participate in extreme act of violence. Girls of the same age are made into sexual slaves. Nearly all of the children who escape from the LRA are found to be HIV positive. The UN Children's Fund estimates

that up to 10,000 youngsters have been victims of rebel atrocities. Backed by an oppressive and terrorist regime in Sudan, the LRA is a direct affront on the new Africa.

Mr. Chairman, it is time for Congress and the Clinton Administration to embrace President Museveni and Uganda as a partner for peace and stability on the African Continent. We must make a decision. Will the United States continue its centuries old neglect of Africa? Will it continue to support only the Mobutu Sese Sekos and Jonas Savimbi of Africa? Or, if President Clinton's trip truly marked a new beginning in relations between the United States and the countries of sub-Saharan Africa, will we support those that are doing the right thing?

The current crisis in Northern Uganda poses this question. I, along the countless others who care about the future of Africa, await the answer.

IN TRIBUTE TO THE LEGAL AID FOUNDATION OF LONG BEACH

HON. STEPHEN HORN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 6, 1998

Mr. HORN. Mr. Speaker, when the House voted earlier this week to add \$109 million in funding for the Legal Services Corporation, it was a victory for low-income Americans and our ideal of equal justice under law. The Legal Services Corporation plays a key role in the administration of justice for low-income Americans who cannot afford to pay the often high costs of civil legal assistance. It makes the ideal of equal justice under law a reality for the most vulnerable members of our society.

Legal assistance for the poor has made a real difference for many of my constituents. Funded in part by the Legal Services Corporation, the Legal Aid Foundation of Long Beach has helped many of my constituents correct injustices in their lives. For example, one client, Rosa, had an estranged husband who often beat her. During a one-day, court-allowed visit, the husband took their children and fled to Mexico. He did not return the children for more than a year. After he again threatened to take the children to Mexico, Rosa tried unsuccessfully on her own to get a restraining order. The Legal Aid Foundation of Long Beach helped her to get a restraining order prohibiting removal of the children from California and cutting off her ex-husband's visitation.

In another case, five tenants in an apartment house in downtown Long Beach sought assistance from the Legal Aid Foundation when their landlord tried to evict them. The building had been cited multiple times for health and safety violations and had been illegally converted from six units to eleven. The tenants wanted to move but lacked the money to pay moving costs and deposits at another apartment. The Foundation successfully defended the tenants in the eviction proceeding and worked with the City of Long Beach and obtained safe, habitable Section 8 housing for them.

These are just two examples of the good work of the Legal Aid Foundation of Long Beach, and the work funded by the Legal Services Corporation. The House was right to

add funding for the Legal Services Corporation. Low-income Americans need this agency to ensure that justice does not depend on one's ability to pay.

IN HONOR OF THE ALLIANCE OF
POLES OF AMERICA

HON. DENNIS J. KUCINICH

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 6, 1998

Mr. KUCINICH. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the Alliance of Poles of America on the occasion of its centennial year.

The Alliance of Poles of America has a long and proud history. Its history shows how hard its members are prepared to struggle for what they believe to be right for their community, and to preserve the traditions and culture of Poland. The Alliance's early years were not easy, but the organization's spirit carried it through. The entire Cleveland community has benefited from the enduring and successful presence of the Alliance of Poles, not only in the area of insurance, but also of charity.

After the challenge of its first, difficult years, the Alliance had to deal with the two World Wars. For Americans of Polish descent, it was very hard to watch their countrymen suffer under the vicissitudes of war, and later the yoke of Communism. But the Alliance of Poles was steadfast in its commitment to democracy, and successfully strove to aid the people of their home country.

My fellow colleagues, on the occasion of its centenary, please join me in honoring this enduring and most worthy organization—the Alliance of Poles of America.

PROTECTING THE CREDIT UNION
MOVEMENT

HON. JOHN J. LaFALCE

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 6, 1998

Mr. LaFALCE. Mr. Speaker, I appreciated and supported the necessity to move quickly to pass H.R. 1151, the credit union field of membership bill, before the August recess. However, I remain troubled by one of the modifications the Senate Banking Committee made to the House version of the bill, which makes it easier for credit unions to become other types of financial institutions. I will continue to try to rectify this problem in other appropriate contexts. And I also encourage NCUA to use every means at its disposal to prevent credit union members from losing their ownership in a credit union at the hands of a very small minority.

A brief history of the conversion issue will illustrate my concerns. Through its regulations, the NCUA has quite rightly kept a tight rein on the conversion process, requiring a majority vote of all members of the credit union before a credit union can convert to a mutual thrift. This is a difficult standard, and it is meant to be. A credit union's capital, unlike that of any other financial institution, belongs to its members. Once the conversion to a mutual thrift is accomplished, the institution can easily convert to a stock institution, with the result that

a few officers and insiders of the former credit union—not to mention the attorneys who encouraged the deal—can wind up owing much or all the former credit union's capital in the form of stock. Thus, in order to prevent insiders from walking away with capital which belongs to the entire credit union membership, and depriving that membership of their credit union access, NCUA instituted the majority vote requirement. This requirement was subject to notice and comment rulemaking in 1995. The agency received no comments opposed to the majority vote requirement, while fully half the comments on this section urged the agency to institute a supermajority requirement. 60 F.R. 12660 (March 8, 1995). The NCUA Board then imposed the least burdensome voting requirement suggested by the commenters.

Recently, credit unions have been under tremendous pressure to convert to other types of institutions. Legitimate uncertainty about the outcome of the AT&T case, encouraged by lawyers who specialize in conversions, produced a record number of conversion applications over the past several years. These same individuals then complained that NCUA processed applications too slowly and that the conversion requirements were too rigorous. They persuaded some members of the Senate Banking Committee to override NCUA's regulation and to weaken conversion requirements by allowing conversions upon a majority vote only of those members voting. This means that a very small fraction of credit union members could force a credit union to convert, even against the wishes of the overwhelming majority of members who are either unaware or did not participate in a vote. This same faction can then profit by a further conversion to a stock institution.

While H.R. 1151 will address the field of membership issue for most credit unions, other restrictions imposed by the Senate version of the bill, such as the limits on loans to members for business purposes, will cause some credit unions to consider converting to other types of institutions. You can be sure that some outside consultants are already analyzing this legislation and preparing new arguments to credit unions as to why they should convert. This is why I urge NCUA to enhance its close scrutiny of conversion applications. While it may seem as if NCUA has very little discretion in this area, the legislation does at least grant them authority to administer the member vote, and require that a credit union seeking to convert inform the agency of its intentions 90 days before the conversion. I would like to point out several ways in which NCUA can continue to exercise vigilant oversight over the conversion process within this 90-day period.

First, I encourage NCUA to strictly supervise the notification of members regarding the impending conversion vote. The legislation requires that notice be sent 90, 60, and 30 days before the conversion vote. NCUA should require that these notices be separate and distinct from other mailings and statements. The notice must go beyond NCUA's current notice requirement and explain to members not only the facts of the conversion proposal, but also the fact that they will lose their ownership rights and that the member capital of the credit union could potentially be converted to private stock. Now that the members lack the protection of the majority vote requirement,

they must be informed about any and all possible outcomes of the conversion.

Further, NCUA must strictly supervise the process of taking the member vote. Where so much is at stake, both for the general membership and those seeking to convert, outside election monitors must be employed. NCUA should ensure that firms used for monitoring elections have no ties to the credit union, those seeking the conversion or the lawyers assisting in the conversion process. The monitoring firm should be required to submit a list of all its clients for the past five years. The monitoring firm and each member of the credit union board should then be required to sign a statement indicating that they have had no prior dealings, with falsification of these statements subject to criminal and civil penalties.

I would like to point out that such requirements are not barred by the instruction to NCUA to develop regulations consistent with other regulators' conversion requirements, as other types of financial institutions do not have members threatened with losing their capital. While I agree that regulatory requirements should be comparable between agencies when possible, this is a case where strict parallels are impossible. Also, the law allows NCUA to require the conversion vote to be taken again if it "disapproves of the methods by which the member vote was taken or procedures applicable to the member vote." This provision explicitly permits strict oversight by NCUA and I sincerely hope they will use it to protect credit union members. It allows disapproval for example, if there is less than a majority of members voting, as that would put a cloud over the efficacy of the notifications.

Mr. Speaker, as I said earlier, I do not want to oppose such an important piece of legislation that I had worked so hard to craft. However, I did feel obligated to note my concerns with the conversion provision and strongly encourage NCUA to enforce this provision very strictly.

CONGRATULATING MONSIGNOR
ALLIEGRO ON THE TWENTY-
FIFTH ANNIVERSARY OF HIS OR-
DINATION

HON. MICHAEL PAPPAS

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 6, 1998

Mr. PAPPAS. Mr. Speaker, it is my honor to congratulate Monsignor Michael J. Alliegro as he celebrates the twenty-fifth anniversary of his ordination to the priesthood.

Since his ordination in May 1973, Monsignor Alliegro has served the people of New Jersey in many ways. Upon ordination, he served as associate pastor of his childhood parish, Our Lady of Peace in Fords, New Jersey. He then served as vice principal of Saint John Vianney High School in Holmdel, New Jersey, as principal of Bishop Ahr High School in Edison, New Jersey and on the faculty of Immaculate Conception Seminary in South Orange, New Jersey.

When the Diocese of Metuchen was established in 1981, Monsignor Alliegro held various leadership posts in which he assisted parishes and citizens with their spiritual needs, in addition to helping to increase vocations to the priesthood.